

### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

		AITES OF	washingto	on, D.C. 20231	AT
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/580,411	05/30/00	JENKINS		Н	7 <del>9</del> 48
			7		EXAMINER
CHARLES R R	HST	QM32/0913		DEXTE	TR. C
WOODLING KR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
9213 CHILLI KIRTLAND OH			•	3724	Ŧ
				DATE MAILED	) <b>:</b>
					09/13/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Application No. 09/580,411

Applicant(s)

**Jenkins** 

## Office Action Summary

Examiner

Clark F. Dexter Art Unit

3724

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence address				
A SHO THE N - Exten aft - If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any r	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl User SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic Uperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days Uperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Useply received by the Office later than three months after the Universal of the control of the con	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, ation. , a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (c) y statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will  6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 25, 2	2001	•				
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 🔀 This act	tion is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u>	is/are	e pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u>		is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	(4 m) (4 m)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.					
11)💢	The proposed drawing correction filed on Jun 2	5, 2001 is: a) $\square$ approved	b) X disapproved.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
13) ☐ a) ☐	under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p  All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority decuments have application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ve been received. ve been received in Application Nocuments have been received in au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	No				
14] Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Attachm	ent(s)						
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)				
16) 🗌 No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)				
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 20) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/580,411 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment filed June 25, 2001 has been entered. It is noted that in view of the new amendment practice under 37 CFR 1.121 which became mandatory for all amendments on March 1, 2001, and due to the limited amount of examining time per application, if the amendment contains changes to existing language that requires a marked-up version showing those changes, the Examiner is relying upon the <a href="marked-up version(s">marked-up version(s)</a> for examination of the application. It is applicant's responsibility to ensure that the clean version(s) is (are) the same as the marked-up version(s).

#### **Drawings**

- 2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on June 25, 2001 have been **disapproved**. The response appears to include a proposed drawing change but the proposed drawing change does not show the proposed changes in red ink or otherwise highlighted as required by MPEP 608.02(v). (It is noted that this is necessary so that the PTO Draftsman, who reviews only the drawings, can readily review the changes).
- The drawings are objected to because of the following informalities:

  In Figure 8, the leftmost occurrence of numeral 64 has no lead line and should be deleted for clarity. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/580,411 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Carll, pn 2,313,801.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carll, pn 2,313,801.

Carll discloses a cutter apparatus with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention but lacks a bottom board, a backup plate, and threaded members connecting the bottom board, backup plate and top board. However, the Examiner takes Official notice that such cutting subassemblies are old and well known in the art and provide well known benefits such as enabling

Application/Control Number: 09/580,411 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

a particular cutting die to be quickly and efficiently installed as a unit; for example, replacing a cutting die subassembly of having one pattern with a cutting die subassembly from storage, the replacement cutting die having another pattern. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the cutter apparatus of Carll with additional structure to create an easily removable subassembly for the well known benefits including those described above.

#### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at (703)308-2187.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers for this group are: formal papers - (703)305-3579; informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd

September 10, 2001